Conformal Self Mappings of the Fundamental Domains of Analytic Functions and Computer Experimentation

ANDREI-FLORIN ALBIŞORU¹, DORIN GHIŞA²

¹Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, ROMANIA ²Department of Mathematics, Glendon College, York University, Toronto, CANADA

Abstract: - Conformal self mappings of a given domain of the complex plane can be obtained by using the Riemann Mapping Theorem in the following way. Two different conformal mappings φ and ψ of that domain onto one of the standard domains: the unit disc, the complex plane or the Riemann sphere are taken and then $\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi$ is what we are looking for. Yet, this is just a theoretical construction, since the Riemann Mapping Theorem does not offer any concrete expression of those functions. The Möbius transformations are concrete, but they can be used only for particular circular domains. We are proving in this paper that conformal self mappings of any fundamental domain of an arbitrary analytic function can be obtained via Möbius transformations as long as we allow that domain to have slits. Moreover, those mappings enjoy group properties. This is a totally new topic. Although fundamental domains of some elementary functions are well known, the existence of such domains for arbitrary analytic functions has been proved only in our previous publications mentioned in the References section. No other publication exists on this topic and the reference list is complete. We deal here with conformal self mappings of fundamental domains in its whole generality and present sustaining illustrations. Those related to the case of Dirichlet functions represent a real achievement. Computer experimentation with these mappings are made for the most familiar analytic functions.

Key-Words: - Conformal mappings, Fundamental domains, Möbius transformations, Euler Gamma function, Riemann Zeta function, Dirichlet functions, Computer experimentation

Received: April 26, 2023. Revised: July 28, 2023. Accepted: August 21, 2023. Published: September 26, 2023.

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Gabriela Kohr

1 Introduction

Let f(z) be a holomorphic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ with the exception of isolated singular points, which can be poles or essential singular points. It is known, [1], that $\overline{\mathbb{C}} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n \leq \infty} \overline{\Omega}_k$, where Ω_k are open connected sets, $\Omega_k \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$, when $j \neq k$ and every Ω_k is conformal (hence bijectively) mapped by f onto $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus L_k$, where L_k is a *slit*, or a *cut*, *i.e.*, *a Jordan arc* or a Jordan infinite curve. We will treat slits mostly as point sets. If $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is any point set we will denote by f(E) the *image* of E by f, i.e.

$$f(E) = \{f(z) | z \in E\}$$

and by $f^{-1}(E)$ the *pre-image* of E by f i.e.,

$$f^{-1}(E) = \{ z | f(z) \in E \}.$$

This convention cannot produce any confusion. The uniqueness theorem of analytic functions guarantees that for a non constant analytic function f(z) the preimage by f(z) of a point w is a discrete set of points $\{z_n\}$ such that $f(z_n) = w$. A slit exhibits two distinct *edges*, [2], and a point of the slit can be n on one edge or on the other. The inverse function $f_{|\Omega_k|}^{-1}$, which exists for every k, in view of bijectiveness of f in Ω_k , fails to have a continuous extension to L_k , since for sequences of points tending to the same point on L_k from the sides of different edges the function has different limits. However, the function $f_{|\Omega_k|}$ can be extended by continuity to the boundary $\partial \Omega_k$ of Ω_k and it maps $\partial \Omega_k$ onto L_k . This fact is granted by the Riemann-Caratheodory Theorem of boundary correspondence in the conformal mapping [3] and [4]. The same theorem allows the extension of $f_{|\Omega_k|}^{-1}$ not to L_k , but to the two edges of L_k which then are mapped one to one by the extended function onto $\partial \Omega_k$.

Ahlfors, [2], called the domains Ω_k fundamental regions of f. When f is a rational function of degree m, then n = m, [5].

Fundamental domains are known also for analytic functions having non isolated singular points, as for example the modular function or the infinite Blaschke products. For the modular function every point of the real axis is a singular point (obviously, non isolated), while for the infinite Blaschke products such points are the cluster points of the poles.

Examples of fundamental domains for different classes of analytic functions were given in [6], [7]. We have n there that they are not uniquely determined, yet some points of their boundaries must be the same for any partition of the complex plane into fundamental domains and these are the branch points of the function f. The branch points of f are the zeros of derivative of f, the multiple poles and the essential singular points of this function. Since in any neighborhood of such a point the function fails to be injective, the branch points cannot belong to any fundamental domain and therefore they should be located on the boundaries of these domains. This simple remark, as well as the simultaneous continuation technique, [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], allowed us to implement procedures of finding fundamental domains for different classes of analytic functions.

It is known that for a Blaschke product w = B(z)of degree n the equation B(z) = 1 has exactly n distinct solutions ζ_k all located on the unit circle and for every k the image by B(z) of the arc of the unit circle between ζ_k and ζ_{k+1} is the whole unit circle. The equation B'(z) = 0 has no solution on the unit circle, [5], [7], [8]. Then the pre-image by B(z) of the point 1 is the set of points $\{\zeta_k\}$ and when w moves from 1 towards 0 on the real axis, n points z_k will move each one from the corresponding ζ_k inside the unit disc describing some Jordan arcs. These arcs can only meet each other at the branch points of B(z). When two adjacent arcs starting from consecutive points ζ_k and ζ_{k+1} on the unit circle meet each other at a_k , they bound together with the arc of the unit circle between ζ_k and ζ_{k+1} a domain which is conformal mapped by B(z) onto the unit disc with a slit alongside the real axis from $B(a_k)$ to 1. The symmetric of this domain with respect to the unit circle is conformal mapped by B(z) onto the exterior of the unit circle with a slit alongside the real axis from 1 to $1/B(a_k)$ and therefore the union Ω_k of the two domains and of the common part of the boundary is conformal mapped by B(z) onto the whole complex plane with a slit alongside the real axis from $B(a_k)$ to $1/B(a_k)$. The domain Ω_k is a fundamental domain of B(z) and we obtain the remaining n-1 fundamental domains of B(z) in a similar way.

Although we use the words *move* and *describe* this is a static situation, where arcs are mapped bijectively onto some intervals of the real axis.

Let us notice that the topological facts listed above have as corollary a surprising algebraic result, namely, that the image by B(z) of the roots of B'(z)are all real.

Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 illustrate this affirmation for B(z) having the triple zeros a, -a with |a| < 1 and 0. Different stages of the construction of the



Figure 1: Building fundamental domains of a Blaschke product of degree 9

fundamental domains are exhibited in these figures.

This result has been generalized in [7] to infinite Blaschke products and we have found that in every neighborhood of a cluster point of poles (which is necessarily on the unit circle) of an infinite Blaschke product there are infinitely many fundamental domains of that function. This is a completion of the Big Picard Theorem in the sense that such a point is not an isolated singular point (being limit of poles) and instead of infinitely many points having the same image it states that infinitely many domains have the same image.

We notice also that every neighborhood of an isolated essential singular point of any analytic function f intersects infinitely many fundamental domains. Indeed, let a be such a point and let V be a neighborhood of a. If w is a non omitted value of f, then there are infinitely many points $z_k \in V$ such that $f(z_k) = w$. Each one of the points z_k is either an interior point of a fundamental domain Ω_k or a finite number k_m of fundamental domains meet in z_k . As the set (k_m) is infinite, infinitely many fundamental domains f intersect V.

The exponential function $f(z) = e^z$ has as fundamental domains horizontal strips of width 2π , as for example

$$\Omega_k = \{ z | 2k\pi < \Im z < 2(k+1)\pi \}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Each one of these domains is conformal mapped by f(z) onto the complex plane with a slit alongside the positive real half axis.



Figure 2: Building fundamental domains of a Blaschke product of degree 9



Figure 3: Building fundamental domains of a Blaschke product of degree 9



Andrei-Florin Albişoru, Dorin Ghişa



Figure 4: Fundamental domains of a Blaschke product of degree 9

Let us notice that $f(z) = e^z$ maps one to one the interval

$$\{z = iy | 0 \le y < 2\pi\} \subset \Omega_0$$

onto the unit circle. Moreover, since

$$e^{x_0 + iy_0} = e^{x_0}(\cos y + i\sin y)$$

is the equation of a circle centered at the origin and of radius e^{x_0} , when $0 \le y < 2\pi$, f(z) is a conformal mapping of the half of Ω_0 on the left side of the imaginary axis (corresponding to $x_0 < 0$) onto the unit disc (since $e^{x_0} < 1$) with a slit alongside the real axis from 0 to 1. It is also a conformal mapping of the half of Ω_0 at the right side of the imaginary axis onto the exterior of the unit disc (since $e^{x_0} > 1$) with a slit alongside the real axis from 1 to ∞ . This remark gives a good idea of the geometry of the conformal mapping of Ω_0 by $f(z) = e^z$. We have a similar situation for every Ω_k . Fundamental domains of the exponential function are illustrated in Fig. 5.

The fundamental domains of $f(z) = \cos z$ are bounded by vertical lines $\Re z = k\pi$ and $\Re z = (k + 1)\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This function realizes a conformal mapping of each one of these strips onto the complex plane with a slit alongside the real axis complemen-



Figure 5: Fundamental domains of e^z



Figure 6: Fundamental domains of $\cos z$

tary to the interval (-1, 1). Indeed, we have

$$\cos z = \frac{1}{2}(e^{iz} + e^{-iz}) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{ix-y} + e^{-ix+y})$$
$$= \frac{e^{-y}}{2}(\cos x + i\sin x) + \frac{e^{y}}{2}(\cos x - i\sin x)$$
$$= \cos x \left[\frac{1}{2}(e^{y} + e^{-y})\right] - i\sin x \left[\frac{1}{2}(e^{y} - e^{-y})\right]$$
$$= \cos x \cosh y - i\sin x \sinh y.$$

Thus,

$$\cos(k\pi + iy) = (-1)^k \cosh y,$$

which shows that every vertical line $z = k\pi + iy$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is mapped two to one by $f(z) = \cos z$ onto the interval of the real axis from $-\infty$ to -1 when k is odd and from 1 to $+\infty$ when k is even. Therefore every vertical strip bounded by two consecutive such lines is a fundamental domain for $f(z) = \cos z$, which is mapped by f(z) onto the complex plane with a slit alongside the real axis complementary to the interval (-1, 1). Fundamental domains of the cosine function are given in Fig. 6. All the other trigonometric functions have similar fundamental domains.

The Euler Gamma function is an extension to the whole complex plane of the arithmetic function $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$. For $\Re z > 1$ the extension is given by



Figure 7: Fundamental domains of the Euler Gamma and the Riemann Zeta function

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt \tag{1}$$

Integrating by parts, we find that $\Gamma(z)$ satisfies the functional equation $z\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z+1)$ which allows its extension to the half-plane $\Re z \leq 1$. The pre-image by $\Gamma(z)$ of the real axis displays fundamental domains of this function as shown in Fig. 7. The red curves are the pre-image of the positive half axis and the black ones that of the negative half axis. The domains bounded by consecutive curves of the same color are fundamental domains of $\Gamma(z)$. They are conformal mapped by the function onto the complex plane with a slit alongside some intervals of the real axis. On the right side of Fig. 7, the preimage of the real axis by the Riemann Zeta function, yet not all the domains bounded by its components are fundamental domains.

The modular function $\lambda(\tau)$ has been built, [2], starting with a domain Ω_1 bounded by the half-lines $\Re \tau = \pm 1, \ \Im \tau > 0$ and the half-circles $|\tau \pm 1/2| =$ $1/2, \Im \tau > 0$. The Riemann Mapping Theorem states that there is a unique conformal mapping $\lambda(\tau)$ of the domain Ω_1 onto the complex plane with a slit alongside the real axis from $-\infty$ to -1 and from 1 to $+\infty$ such that $\tau = 0, 1, \infty$ corresponds to $\lambda = 1, \infty, 0$, [2]. Using the Schwartz Symmetry Principle this mapping can be extended analytically to the whole upper half plane. The domains obtained by iterated symmetries with respect to the half-lines and halfcircles are all fundamental domains of $\lambda(\tau)$, which are illustrated in Fig. 8. In every neighborhood of a point of the real axis there are infinitely many such domains and therefore the real axis is a singular line of $\lambda(\tau)$.

The fundamental domains mentioned above are obvious, yet for most of the classes of analytic functions they have to be found. On the other hand, there is no hope to compute the values of $\lambda(\tau)$ since the Riemann Mapping Theorem states only the fact that



Figure 8: The fundamental domains of the modular function

such a function exists and is unique. However, it has this special feature that the conformal mappings of the fundamental domains one of each other are known, namely those generated by $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ and $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$. We will show later that for any analytic function such mappings can be found as long as we allow fundamental domains to have slits.

The Weierstrass \wp function has been defined, [2], by the formula

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \neq 0} \left[\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right]$$
(2)

where $\omega = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$, $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ with ω_1 and ω_2 arbitrary complex numbers having non real ratio ω_1/ω_2 . It is a doubly periodic function with the periods ω_1 and ω_2 . The parallelogram determined by ω_1 and ω_2 is divided by the diagonal from ω_1 to ω_2 into two triangles which are fundamental domains for \wp , [8]. The conformal mapping of these triangles made by \wp onto the complex plane with three slits is illustrated in Fig. 9.

2 Fundamental Domains of Dirichlet Functions

The conformal mappings by *Dirichlet functions* have been studied in [10], [11], [12], [13] and the way the fundamental domains of these functions can be revealed has been explained. However, for a better understanding of this topic we will repeat some of the findings in those papers.



Figure 9: The fundamental domains of \wp and their conformal mapping onto the whole complex plane with three slits

Since the *Dirichlet L-functions* have been implemented in different packages of software and for illustration purposes we only make use of these functions, it is necessary to present them separately.

A Dirichlet character modulo q is an arithmetic function $\chi(n)$ which is periodic of period q and such that if q and n are not relatively prime, then $\chi(n) = 0$ and if they are relatively prime then $\chi(n)$ is a root of order $\varphi(q)$ of the unity, where φ is the Euler totient function. For every q we have $\chi(1) = 1$.

Let us take q = 7. Then $\varphi(q) = 6$ and the six Dirichlet characters modulo seven are given in Table 1, where $\omega = e^{\pi i/3}$.

χn	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\chi_1(n)$	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2(n)$	0	1	ω^2	ω	$-\omega$	$-\omega^2$	-1
$\chi_3(n)$	0	1	$-\omega$	ω^2	ω^2	$-\omega$	1
$\chi_4(n)$	0	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1
$\chi_5(n)$	0	1	ω^2	$-\omega$	$-\omega$	ω^2	1
$\chi_6(n)$	0	1	$-\omega$	$-\omega^2$	ω^2	ω	-1

Table 1: Dirichlet characters modulo 7

A *Dirichlet function* is obtained by performing analytic continuation to the whole complex plane of the sum of a *Dirichlet series*

$$\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$$
(3)

where $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$ is a non decreasing sequence of positive numbers, $A = (a_n)$ is an arbitrary sequence of complex numbers and $s = \sigma + it$ is a complex variable. It make sense to deal only with *normalized* series (3) in which $a_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = 0$. For every normalized Dirichlet series $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \zeta_{A,\Lambda}(\sigma + it) = 1.$$
(4)

This apparently trivial property has surprising consequences regarding the geometry of the mappings by Dirichlet series. We list here a few of them.

The pre-image of the real axis by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ has infinitely many components which are of the three types:

a) Γ'_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ extending for σ from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ and which are mapped by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ bijectively onto the interval $(1, +\infty)$ of the real axis. These curves do not intersect each other and Γ'_k and Γ'_{k+1} form infinite open strips S_k which are mapped by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ not necessarily one to one onto the whole complex plane with a slit alongside the interval $(1, +\infty)$ of the real axis. We count them from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ such that S_{k+1} is above S_k and $0 \in S_0$.

b) Every strip S_k , $k \neq 0$ contains a unique component $\Gamma_{k,0}$ of the pre-image of the real axis which is mapped by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ bijectively onto the interval $(-\infty, 1)$ of the real axis. This component also extends for σ from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$.

c) Every strip S_k , $k \neq 0$ contains a finite number of components $\Gamma_{k,j}$, $j \neq 0$ of the pre-image of the real axis which are mapped bijectively by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ onto the whole real axis. These components extend for σ from $-\infty$ to some finite values. They are parabola shaped curves with the branches extending to $-\infty$. The strip S_0 has infinitely many components $\Gamma_{0,j}$.

The strip S_k , $k \neq 0$ having m components $\Gamma_{k,j}$ of the pre-image of the real axis can be partitioned into m sub-strips, the interiors of which are fundamental domains of $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$. The strip S_0 contains infinitely many fundamental domains.

For $k \neq 0$ the fundamental domains of every function $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ are bounded by components of the preimage by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ of the interval $(1, +\infty)$ of the real axis to which components of the pre-image of the segment between 1 and $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(v_{k,j})$ is added, where $\zeta'_{A,\Lambda}(v_{k,j}) = 0$. We have shown in [10] that such a construction is always possible.

There is number $\sigma_c \leq \infty$ such that the series (3) converges locally uniformly for $\Re s > \sigma_c$ and diverges for $\Re s < \sigma_c$. The number σ_c is called the *abscissa of convergence* of the series (3).

When $a_n = \chi(n)$ are Dirichlet characters of some module q and $\lambda_n = \log n$ then we have a *Dirichlet L-series*:

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$
(5)

The character whose values are only 0 and 1 is called *principal character*. Every Dirichlet L-series

defined by a non principal character has the abscissa of convergence 0, while the Dirichlet series defined by principal characters have the abscissa of convergence 1.

When q = 1 the only character is the principal one and the corresponding series is the Riemann series, whose abscissa of convergence is known to be 1. The analytic continuation of this series:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \tag{6}$$

is the famous Riemann Zeta Function.

The Riemann Zeta Function is one of the most studied analytic functions, in view of its many applications in number theory, algebra, complex analysis, statistics, as well as in physics.

The pre-image of the real axis by $\zeta(s)$ shows infinite strips, Fig. 7, which can be divided into substrips representing fundamental domains of this function. The way it can be done is described in [10].

We have found later, [11], [12], [13], that this property is common to the whole class of *Dirichlet functions* to which the Riemann Zeta function belongs. The Dirichlet functions are analytic continuations to the whole complex plane of Dirichlet series (3). We will keep the notation $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ for such a function.

The components of the pre-image by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ of circles centered at the origin and of radius r are of three types depending on the values r.

When r < 1, these components are all closed curves around some zeros of the function. For r small enough each one of these curves contains just one zero.

When r = 1, (the unit circle) every strip $S_k, k \neq 0$ contains exactly one unbounded component of the pre-image of the unit circle and some bounded ones. The unbounded component has the branches tending asymptotically to the boundary ∂S_k of S_k .

When r increases over one, the unbounded components fuse all into one unbounded curve expanding from $t = -\infty$ to $t = +\infty$. The bounded components expand also and two of them can fuse into one containing the zeros of both of them.

These components and the pre-image of the real axis form an orthogonal net of quadrilaterals which are conformal mapped by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ on half-rings centered at the origin with two opposite sides on the real axis and included respectively in the upper and the lower half-planes.

When a_n are all real, then the real axis is included in the pre-image by the respective Dirichlet function of the real axis. Otherwise, such an inclusion does not take place. This is obvious for the Riemann Zeta



Figure 10: The pre-images by two Dirichlet Lfunctions of an orthogonal net formed with circles centered at the origin and the real axis

function, Fig. 7, but also for the Dirichlet L-functions illustrated in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11.

3 Fundamental Domains with Slits

The list of the classes of analytic functions we have visited is not exhaustible, yet it gives us an idea of what happens in general. When dealing with any analytic function we can focus on a particular fundamental domain Ω . The function performs a conformal mapping of Ω onto the complex plane with a slit L. If we do also a Möbius transformation M of the image plane, the function $M \circ f$ is a conformal mapping of the domain Ω onto the complex plane with two slits: L and M(L). One of them is the image by $M \circ f$ of a slit in Ω , thus we need to deal with fundamental domains with slits. This is even more obvious when we study conformal self mappings of Ω of the form $f_{|\Omega}^{-1} \circ M \circ f$.

More exactly, there is a slit L' in the complex plane carried by M onto L and which is the image by f of a slit L_M of Ω . Therefore, f carries L_M into L', Mcarries L' into L, $f_{|\Omega|}^{-1}$ carries the two edges of L into $\partial\Omega$, thus

$$\chi_M = f_{|\Omega|}^{-1} \circ M \circ f \tag{7}$$

carries L_M into $\partial \Omega$. The inverse function of χ_M , where defined, is

$$\chi_M^{-1} = f_{|\Omega|}^{-1} \circ M^{-1} \circ f.$$

There is a slit L'' of the complex plane, the image of L by M^{-1} and which is also the image by f of a slit





Figure 11: The pre-image of the real axis by two Dirichlet L-functions defined by $\chi_2(n)$ and $\chi_4(n)$ modulo 7

 $L_{M^{-1}}$ of Ω , thus f carries $L_{M^{-1}}$ into L'', which is carried by M into L and L is carried by $f_{|\Omega|}^{-1}$ into $\partial\Omega$.

Theorem 1. The function χ_M is a conformal mapping of $\Omega \setminus L_{M^{-1}}$ onto $\Omega \setminus L_M$. The boundaries $\partial \Omega \cup L_{M^{-1}}$ and $\partial \Omega \cup L_M$ of the two double connected domains correspond one to each other through χ_M in the following way: $\partial \Omega$ is carried into L_M and $L_{M^{-1}}$ is carried into $\partial \Omega$.

Proof: The functions f, M and $f_{|\Omega}^{-1}$ are analytic functions in their domains, except for the simple pole of M, if there is one. The function $M^{-1} \circ f$ is a conformal mapping of Ω and carries $\partial\Omega$ into L'', which is carried by $f_{|\Omega}^{-1}$ into $L_{M^{-1}}$, hence χ_M is a conformal mapping of $\Omega \setminus L_{M^{-1}}$ which carries $L_{M^{-1}}$ into $\partial\Omega$. On the other hand, the function χ_M does not take any value belonging to L_M since it is injective and χ_M^{-1} carries L_M into $\partial\Omega$. By the boundary correspondence theorem L_M must be carried by χ_M into $L_{M^{-1}}$. This completely proves the theorem. \Box

It is known that for two arbitrary Möbius transformations M_1 and M_2 the function $M_1 \circ M_2$ is also a Möbius transformation. On the other hand, where all the functions are defined, we have:

$$\chi_{M_{1} \circ M_{2}}(s) = f_{|\Omega}^{-1} \circ (M_{1} \circ M_{2}) \circ f(s)$$

= $(f_{|\Omega}^{-1} \circ M_{1} \circ f) \circ (f_{|\Omega}^{-1} \circ M_{2} \circ f(s))$
= $\chi_{M_{1}} \circ \chi_{M_{2}}(s).$ (9)

Thus, the composition law in the family $\{\chi_M\}$ of transformations of Ω with slits defined by all Möbius

transformations M through the formula (7) is an internal operation. It is obvious that if M_0 is the identity mapping, them χ_{M_0} is the identity transformation of Ω . Also, for every M the transformation $\chi_{M^{-1}}$ is the inverse mapping of χ_M . The associativity of the compositions of mappings χ_M is a direct corollary of the same property of the mappings M. Thus, we have:

Theorem 2. Any subgroup of Möbius transformations defines through the formula (7) a group of transformations of any fundamental domain Ω with slits of an analytic function f.

Proof: Let G be a subgroup of Möbius transformations. We can think at any subgroup well studied in the literature, as for example the subgroup of Möbius transformations which represent the unit disc onto itself, or those with real coefficients etc.. Let $G_{\Omega} = \{\chi_M \mid M \in G\}$, where χ_M is defined by the formula (7). It doesn't harm to use the same sign for the composition in G and in G_{Ω} . We need to prove that G_{Ω} with the assumed composition law is a group of transformations of Ω . If $\chi_{M_1}, \chi_{M_2} \in G_{\Omega}$, where $M_1, M_2 \in G$, then by (8) we have: $\chi_{M_1} \circ \chi_{M_2}(s) =$ $\chi_{M_1 \circ M_2}(s)$, thus the composition in G_{Ω} is an internal operation. If M_0 is the unit element of G, i.e. for every $M \in G$ we have $M \circ M_0 = M_0 \circ M = M$, then $\chi_M \circ \chi_{M_0} = \chi_{M \circ M_0} = \chi_M$ and $\chi_{M_0} \circ \chi_M = \chi_{M_0 \circ M} = \chi_M$, therefore χ_{M_0} is the unit element of G_{Ω} . Finally, $\chi_M \circ \chi_{M^{-1}} = \chi_{M \circ M^{-1}} = \chi_{M_0}$ and the conclusion is that G_{Ω} is indeed a group of transformations of Ω with slits. \Box

4 Fixed Points of Self-Mappings of the Fundamental Domains

Suppose that for $s_0 \in \Omega$ we have that $f(s_0)$ is a fixed point of the Möbius transformation M, i.e. $M(f(s_0)) = f(s_0)$. We notice that $f(s_0)$ does not belong to the slit L corresponding to Ω . We have

$$\chi_M(s_0) = f_{|\Omega}^{-1}(M(f(s_0))) = f_{|\Omega}^{-1}(f(s_0)) = s_0,$$

therefore s_0 is a fixed point of χ_M . Reciprocally, if s_0 is a fixed point of χ_M this means

 $f_{|\Omega}^{-1}(M(f(s_0))) = s_0,$

so

$$M(f(s_0)) = f(s_0)$$

therefore $f(s_0)$ is a fixed point of M, which obviously does not belong to L. Moreover:

Theorem 3. The fixed points of the transformation χ_M of Ω are those points s for which z = f(s)are fixed points of M. When M has a fixed point z located on L, then to this point correspond two fixed points s_1 and s_2 of the extended χ_M such that $\chi_M(s_1) = \chi_M(s_2) = z$ (Fig. 13). If no fixed point of M is located on L, then there is a one to one correspondence between the fixed points of M(z) and those of $\chi(s)$.

It is known, [15], that any Möbius transformation M has either just one fixed point (the parabolic case), or two fixed points in which case the configuration of the mapping by M can be of three kinds: elliptic, hyperbolic or loxodromic. All depends on the so-called multiplier of M, which is a number μ such that

$$\frac{M(z) - \xi_1}{M(z) - \xi_2} = \mu \frac{z - \xi_1}{z - \xi_2}$$
(9)

where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are the fixed points of M. The number μ is associated to ξ_1 .

The multiplier associated to ξ_2 is $1/\mu$, [16].

If s_1 and s_2 are the fixed points of $\chi_M(s)$ corresponding to the fixed points ξ_1 and ξ_2 of M(z), then the formula (9) translates into a similar formula for $\chi_M(s)$, namely:

$$\frac{f(\chi_M(s)) - f(s_1)}{f(\chi_M(s)) - f(s_2)} = \mu \frac{f(s) - f(s_1)}{f(s) - f(s_2)}.$$

An important property of Möbius transformations is that of transforming circles into circles, where by circle we understand a proper circle or a straight line (circle of infinite radius). We will call Ω -circle the pre-image by $f_{|\Omega}$ of a circle from the complex plane. We notice that if for a circle C we have $C \cap L = \emptyset$, then the pre-image C_{Ω} of C is a closed curve in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Otherwise, if C traverses L then C_{Ω} ends up at two different points on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω and if Cis tangent to L then C_{Ω} is a closed curve tangent to $\partial\Omega$. These are obvious topological properties of the conformal mapping $f_{|\Omega}$.

It is known that to every non-parabolic Möbius transformation with fixed points ξ_1 and ξ_2 we can associate two families of circles, namely all circles passing through ξ_1 and ξ_2 and all circles orthogonal to them centered on the line between ξ_1 and ξ_2 and containing just one of the points ξ_1 or ξ_2 . These last circles are called the *Apollonius circles*. Together the two families of circles form the so called *Steiner net*. The pre-image by $f_{|\Omega}$ of a Steiner net will be called Ω -Steiner net, which is a net of orthogonal curves in Ω since $f_{|\Omega}^{-1}$ is a conformal mapping.

The Steiner net of M describes the way M moves the points of the complex plane and this depends on the value of μ . If $\mu = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ (the elliptic case) the points are moved alongside the Apollonius circles counterclockwise around ξ_1 and clockwise around ξ_2 and the orthogonal circles move one into the other. If $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \neq 1$ (the hyperbolic case) the points are moved such that the Apollonius circles expand around ξ_1 and they shrink around ξ_2 . When $\mu = \rho e^{i\theta}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \neq 1$ (the loxodromic case) the motion of the points by M is a combination of the previous two, resulting in trajectories of double spirals issuing from ξ_1 and entering in ξ_2 . When the transformation is parabolic, i. e., it has a unique fixed point ξ then we have two families of orthogonal circles passing through ξ and a combination of elliptic and hyperbolic motions.

Theorem 4. The pre-image by $f_{|\Omega}$ of the Steiner net generated by a Möbius transformation M is a net of orthogonal Ω -circles, the Ω -Steiner net. This net describes the motion of the points s by the self mapping χ_M of Ω in the same way the Steiner net of Mdoes with the points f(s).

Proof: Suppose that M is non parabolic, therefore it has two distinct fixed points ξ_1 and ξ_2 . If none of them belong to the slit associated to Ω , then they are the image by f of two distinct points $s_1, s_2 \in \Omega$, which are fixed points of χ_M . If one of them belongs to the slit, then it is the image by the extended f of two points on $\partial\Omega$.

The pre-image by $f_{|\Omega}$ of every Apollonius circle around ξ_k is a Ω -circle around s_k , k = 1, 2, the Apollonius Ω -circle. The pre-image by $f_{|\Omega}$ of every circle orthogonal to the Apollonius circles is a Ω -circle orthogonal to all the Apollonius Ω -circles. Since $f_{|\Omega|}^{-1}$ is a conformal mapping, the sense of the motion of the points on the Ω -circles by χ_M is the same as that of their $f_{|\Omega}$ -images by M. So, in the elliptic case the points s move on the Apollonius Ω -circles around s_k in counterclockwise around s_1 and clockwise around s_2 . In the hyperbolic case, the Apollonius Ω -circles around s_1 expand while those around s_2 shrink. Finally, in the loxodromic case the motion of the points s is on a double spiral issuing from s_1 and entering in s_2 . \Box

In the parabolic case, where M has a unique fixed point ξ there are four families of orthogonal Ω -circles passing through $s_0 = f_{|\Omega|}^{-1}(\xi)$. The transformation χ_M of Ω will move the points s in the same way as Mmoves the points z of the complex plane in the corresponding Steiner net.

The computer experimentation with Dirichlet Lfunctions revealed some surprising facts. Since the formula (4) suggests that the components of the preimage of circles passing through z = 1 tend to ∞ as $\sigma \to \infty$ one could conclude that the function χ_M corresponding to M(z) = (2z - 1)/(2 - z) has only one fixed point, which is attractive, while ∞ is repelling. However, in Fig. 19 appeared some other repelling points. We realized that these are points on the boundaries of the fundamental domains where the respective Dirichlet L-function takes the value z = 1. Fig. 19 portrays the conformal self mapping of several fundamental domains whose boundaries cannot be n in the image. What we know for sure is the fact that these boundaries are necessarily between components of the pre-image of Apollonius circles asymptotically tangent at infinity.

5 Some Subgroups of the Group of Transformations of Ω

The subgroups of the group of transformations of Ω correspond to subgroups of the group of Möbius transformations. The most familiar subgroup of Möbius transformations is

$$G = \left\{ M_{a,\theta} \middle| M_{a,\theta}(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}, |a| \neq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
(10)

which leaves invariant the unit circle and transforms the unit disc into itself when |a| < 1 and onto the exterior of the unit disc when |a| > 1. Also the exterior of the unit disc is transformed into itself when |a| < 1and onto the unit disc when |a| > 1.

It can be easily checked that $M_{a,\theta} \circ M_{b,\eta} = M_{c,\phi}$, where

$$c = rac{a + e^{-i\theta}b}{1 + e^{i\theta}a\overline{b}}$$
 and $\phi = \theta + \eta$,

and thus the composition in G is an internal law. The unit element of G is obtained for a = 0 and $\theta = 0$. The inverse element $M_{b,\eta}$ of $M_{a,\theta}$ is obtained for $b = -e^{i\theta}a$ and $\eta = -\theta$. It is obvious that the elements of G with |a| < 1 form a subgroup of G. This is not true for the elements of G with |a| > 1 since the unit element of G is not among them.

The interval $(1, +\infty)$ of the real axis is transformed by $M_{a,\theta}$ into an arc of a circle and if $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta = 0$ it is transformed into an interval of the real axis. This remark will be useful for the examples which follow.

Let us deal first with the Möbius transformation

$$M(z) = \frac{2z - 1}{2 - z}$$
(11)

which is an element of G above with a = 1/2 and $\theta = 0$. This is a non-parabolic Möbius transformation with the fixed points 1 and -1. We will classify the circles of the corresponding Steiner net taking into account their position with respect to the slit



Figure 12: The Steiner net of the Möbius transformation (11) with the slits corresponding to the exponential function

 $L = (1, +\infty)$ associated to a fundamental domain Ω of a Dirichlet function $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$. We notice that the Apollonius circles corresponding to this transformation are of two kinds: those around 1, which intersect the slit in one point and therefore their pre-images by $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s)$ are arcs having the ends on each one of the components of $\partial\Omega$ and those around -1 which do not intersect the slit and therefore their pre-images are closed Ω -circles. All these Ω -circles fill the domain bounded by the pre-image of the imaginary axis, which is a parabola-like curve with the branches tending asymptotically towards $\partial\Omega$ at $\sigma = -\infty$. The remaining part of Ω is filled by the pre-images of circles intersecting the slit. Each point of $\partial\Omega$ is the end point of such an Ω -circle.

Let us notice that since M has real coefficients, it maps the real axis onto itself and so does M^{-1} . Thus, for $L = (1, +\infty)$ we have that L' and L'' are parts of the real axis. Since $M(1) = 1, M(2) = \infty$ and $M(\infty) = -2$, we have $L' = (-\infty, -2) \cup (1, +\infty)$. Also, since $M^{-1}(1) = 1$ and $M^{-1}(\infty) = 2$, we have that L'' = (1, 2). Since $L'' \subset L$, for these functions we have $L_{M^{-1}} \subset \partial \Omega$, thus $\chi_M : \Omega \to \Omega \setminus L_M$. Since M has the fixed points 1 and -1, the function χ_M should have the fixed points s_1 and s_{-1} for which $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s_1) = 1$ and $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s_{-1}) = -1$. Yet, there is no such $s_1 \in \Omega$ such that $\zeta_{A,\Lambda}(s_1) = 1$. We only have $\lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \zeta_{A,\Lambda}(\sigma + it) = 1$. We cannot say that ∞ is a fixed point for χ_M since χ_M is not defined at ∞ . However, ∞ is repelling for χ_M and χ_M has the attracting fixed point s_{-1} .

This is a hyperbolic Möbius transformation with the multiplier $\mu = 3$. Let us notice that if a circle Cof the net passing through -1 and 1 has the center at $ih, h \in \mathbb{R}$, then its radius is $r = (h^2 + 1)^{1/2}$. For any Apollonius circle orthogonal to it of center $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and radius ρ we have

$$r^{2} + \rho^{2} = x^{2} + h^{2} = x^{2} + r^{2} - 1,$$



Figure 13: The conformal self-mapping by χ_M of the fundamental domain of the exponential function and the corresponding Ω -Steiner net when M is given by the relation (11)

hence $x^2 = \rho^2 + 1$, which means that x and ρ depend on each other, but not on h and r, confirming the known fact that the Apollonius circles are all orthogonal to every circle C passing through the fixed points of the Möbius transformation. These relations help us to illustrate the corresponding Steiner net by choosing conveniently the parameters of the two families of circles. In the picture above we have chosen for h the values: $\pm 1/2$, ± 1 and $\pm 3/2$. Correspondingly, we have for r the values: $\sqrt{5}/2$, $\sqrt{2}$ and $\sqrt{13}/2$. If we let $\rho = r$, then we have for x respectively: $\pm 3/2$, $\pm\sqrt{3}$ and $\pm\sqrt{17}/2$. Now we can write the equations of the 12 circles chosen to represent the Steiner net and use a software to draw the respective net and its pre-image by any analytic function into any fundamental domain of that analytic function.

Fig. 12 is so simple since the Möbius transformation M(z) we have taken has real coefficients and therefore the real axis is mapped by M(z) onto itself and L_M and $L_{M^{-1}}$ are located on the preimage of the real axis, Fig. 13, Fig. 15 and Fig. 17 . In particular, when the slit is $L = (1, +\infty)$, which is the case of Dirichlet functions and the fundamental domains R_1 and R_{-1} , then L' and L" are also on the real axis. The situation is a little more complicated when the coefficients of M(z) are complex. Then the pre-images of L by M and M^{-1} are arcs of a circle, Fig. 14, which are mapped by f_{Ω}^{-1} into some curves on the Ω -Steiner net, Fig. 14 and Fig. 15. Let us deal with the Möbius transformation:

$$M(z) = \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}, a \notin \mathbb{R}, |a| \neq 1$$
(12)

This is a non parabolic Möbius transformation with



Figure 14: The Steiner net of to the Möbius transformation (12) with the slits corresponding to the exponential function

the fixed points $\xi_{1,2} = \pm e^{i\theta}$, where $\theta = \arg a$. It can be easily checked that if $a = re^{i\theta}$ then the multiplier of the transformation (12) is $\mu = \frac{1+r}{1-r}$, therefore this transformation is hyperbolic.

The function M(z) transforms the interval $(1, +\infty)$ into an arc of circle with the ends at $\frac{1-a}{1-\overline{a}} = e^{2i\phi}$, where $\phi = \arg(1-a)$ and $-1/\overline{a}$ and this arc of circle is mapped by $f_{|\Omega|}^{-1}$ into L_M . Also, M^{-1} transforms $(1, +\infty)$ into an arc of circle with the ends at $\frac{1+a}{1+\overline{a}} = e^{2i\psi}$, where $\psi = \arg(1+a)$ and at $1/\overline{a}$ and this arc of circle is transformed by $f_{|\Omega|}^{-1}$ into $L_{M^{-1}}$.

When the slit corresponding to $f_{|\Omega}$ is $(0, \infty)$, as in the case of the exponential function, we have M(0) = -a, $M(\infty) = -1/\overline{a}$, $M^{-1}(0) = a$ and $M^{-1}(\infty) = 1/\overline{a}$.

Let us take $a = \frac{1}{2}(1+i)$, hence arg $a = \pi/4$. We get the non parabolic Möbius transformation with the fixed points $\pm (1+i)/\sqrt{2}$. It can be easily checked that the image by M of the interval $(1, +\infty)$ is an arc of circle with the ends in -i and -(1+i) passing through (-1/2)(1+i) and the image by M^{-1} of the same interval is an arc of circle with the ends in (4+3i)/5 and 1+i passing through (9+7i)/10. When the slit corresponding to $f_{|\Omega}$ is $(0,\infty)$, then L' is an arc of circle ending at -(1+i) and (-1/2)(1+i) and L'' is an arc of circle ending at (1/2)(1+i) and 1+i, Fig. 15.

Additional Steiner nets for different functions are illustrated in Fig. 16 and Fig. 18.



Figure 15: The conformal self-mapping by χ_M of the fundamental domain of the exponential function and the corresponding Ω -Steiner net when M is given by the relation (12)



Figure 16: The Steiner net of the Möbius transformation (11) with the slits corresponding to the cosine function



Figure 17: The conformal self-mapping by χ_M of the fundamental domain of the cosine function and the corresponding Ω -Steiner net when M is given by the relation (11)



Figure 18: The Steiner net of the Möbius transformation (11) with the slits corresponding to the Dirichlet L-function L(7,2,s)



Figure 19: The conformal self-mapping by χ_M of the fundamental domain of the Dirichlet L(7,2,s) function and the corresponding Ω -Steiner net when M is given by the relation (11)

6 Conformal Mappings of the Fundamental Domains with Slits One Onto Each Other

Let Ω_k and Ω_j be two fundamental domains of the analytic function f and let $f_{|\Omega_k}$ and $f_{|\Omega_j}$ be conformal maps of these domains onto the complex plane with the slits L_k and respectively L_j . There is a slit $L_{k,j}$ of Ω_k which is mapped by $f_{|\Omega_k}$ onto L_j and there is a slit $L_{j,k}$ of Ω_j which is mapped by $f_{|\Omega_j}$ onto L_k , in other words $L_{k,j} = f_{|\Omega_k}^{-1}(L_j)$ and $L_{j,k} = f_{|\Omega_j}^{-1}(L_k)$. The function $\chi_{k,j}(z) = f_{|\Omega_j}^{-1} \circ f_{|\Omega_k}(z)$ is a conformal mapping of $\Omega_k \setminus L_{k,j}$ onto $\Omega_j \setminus L_{j,k}$. These two double connected domains have the boundaries $\partial\Omega_k \cup L_{k,j}$ and respectively $\partial\Omega_j \cup L_{j,k}$ and $\chi_{k,j}$ carries $\partial\Omega_k$ into $L_{j,k}$ and $L_{k,j}$ into $\partial\Omega_j$. when k = j then $L_{k,j} = \emptyset$ and $\chi_{k,k}$ is the identity mapping of Ω_k . It is also obvious that $\chi_{k,j}^{-1} = \chi_{j,k}$.

We can only compose functions $\chi_{k,j}$ with $\chi_{m,k}$ for different j and m and we obtain $\chi_{m,j}$.

Each one of the domains Ω_k , Ω_j and Ω_m have now two slits, namely $L_{k,j}$ and $L_{k,m}$, respectively $L_{j,k}$ and $L_{j,m}$ and finally $L_{m,k}$ and $L_{m,j}$.

The mappings can be extended to the boundaries. We notice that

$$(\chi_{k,j} \circ \chi_{m,k}) \circ \chi_{l,m} = \chi_{m,j} \circ \chi_{l,m} = \chi_{l,j}$$

and

$$\chi_{k,j} \circ (\chi_{m,k} \circ \chi_{l,m}) = \chi_{k,j} \circ \chi_{l,k} = \chi_{l,j}$$

thus the composition law is associative. However, the set of these mappings fails to form a group since no two arbitrary mappings can be composed.

Let us notice that when $L_k = L_j$ then $L_{k,j} = L_{j,k} = \emptyset$ and $\chi_{k,j}$ is a conformal mapping of Ω_k onto Ω_j . This mapping can be extended by continuity to $\partial \Omega_k$ and the extended function maps one to one $\partial \Omega_k$ onto $\partial \Omega_j$. A lot of classes of analytic functions fulfill this condition, as for example the exponential, the modular function, the trigonometric and hyperbolic functions.

Finally, if f has a finite number m of fundamental domains, as is the case of the rational functions of degree m,then the number of functions $\chi_{k,j}$ is m^2 .

7 Conclusion

The study of the fundamental domains of analytic functions initiated by Ahlfors, proved to be useful in revealing global mapping properties of these functions and in particular in the theory of distribution of zeros of Dirichlet functions. In our opinion the famous Riemann Hypothesis related to the non trivial zeros of the Riemann Zeta function is an aspect of a deeper inside of these global mapping properties of Dirichlet functions. This topic has been treated in the literature only by number theory techniques. Using the powerful tool of conformal mappings has the advantage of a global view. Moreover, this tool enabled a strategy of divide and conquer, in which it was enough to deal with a specific strip containing a finite number of fundamental domains in order to draw conclusions valid for the whole complex plane. The graphics we included have shown that the behavior of those mappings is like that of the Möbius transformations. They do to the fundamental domains the same thing that the Möbius transformations are doing to the whole complex plane. In this paper we have focused on a particular property of fundamental domains of any analytic function, namely that of allowing conformal mappings onto itself. These mappings are obtained by combining the function with Möbius transformations and the inverse function. We have also studied the conformal mappings between two fundamental domains.

Acknowledgment:

The authors are thankful to the peer reviewers for their comments.

References:

- Andreian-Cazacu, C., and Ghisa, D., Global mapping properties of analytic functions, *Progress in Analysis and its Applications, Proceedings of the 7-th ISAAC Congress*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 2010, pp. 3–12.
- [2] Ahlfors, L. V., *Complex Analysis*, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 1979.
- [3] Nehari, Z., *Conformal Mapping*, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 1951.
- [4] Graham, I., and Kohr, G., Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel, 2003.
- [5] Barza, I., and Ghisa, D., The Geometry of Blaschke Product Mappings, *Begehr, Celebi and Gilbert eds., Further Progress in Analysis*, World Scientific, 2008, pp. 1–13.
- [6] Ghisa, D., The Extension of Cauchy Formula to the Boundaries of Fundamental Domains, *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, 2020, pp. 1–19.
- [7] Cao-Huu, T., and Ghisa, D., Invariants of Infinite Blaschke Products, *Mathematica*, Tome 49 (72), No. 1, 2007, pp. 24–34.
- [8] Cao-Huu, T., Ghisa, D., and Muscutar, F.A., Hidden Symmetries of Complex Analysis, *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, No. 9, 2019, pp. 844–856.
- [9] Ballantine, C., and Ghisa, D., Global mapping properties of rational functions, *Progress in Analysis and Its Applications, Michael Ruzhansky and Jens Wirth edts.*, London, UK, 2010, pp. 13–22.
- [10] Ghisa, D., Fundamental Domains and the Riemann Hypothesis, Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrücken, 2012.
- [11] Ghisa, D., Fundamental Domains of Dirichlet Functions in Geometry, Integrability and Quantization, Mladenov, Pulovand and Yoshioka edts., Sofia, 2019, pp. 131–160.
- [12] Ghisa, D., The Geometry of the Mappings by General Dirichlet Series, *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, No. 7, 2017, pp. 1–20.
- [13] Ghisa, D., On the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, Complex Analysis and Potential Theory with Applications, Azeroğly, Golberg and Rogosin edts., Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cambridge, 2014, pp. 77–94.

- [14] Barza, I., Ghisa, D., and Muscutar, F.A., On the Location of Zeros of the Derivative of Dirichlet L-functions, *Annals of the University of Bucharest*, No. 5 (LXIII), 2014, pp. 21–31.
- [15] Needham, T., *Visual Complex Analysis*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [16] Ghisa, D., and Mikulin, E., Multipliers and Classification of *m*-Möbius Transformations, *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, No. 12, 2022, pp. 436–450.

Contribution of Individual Authors to the Creation of a Scientific Article (Ghostwriting Policy)

The authors equally contributed in the present research, at all stages from the formulation of the problem to the final findings and solution.

Sources of Funding for Research Presented in a Scientific Article or Scientific Article Itself

No funding was received for conducting this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International , CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en _US